Client Update: Singapore

2021 JULY



Dispute Resolution

Disagreement Over Relocation of Club Facilities: Members Awarded Nominal Damages for Failure to Prove Loss

Introduction

In Meow Moy Lan and Others v Exklusiv Resorts Pte Ltd and Another [2021] SGHC 155, the Singapore High Court considered claims by a group of members of a social club against the club's owner and operator Exklusiv Resorts Pte Ltd ("Exklusiv") and Exklusiv's director and indirect shareholder, Mr Peter Kwee ("Mr Kwee") arising from the relocation of the club's facilities. The Court dismissed the majority of the 170 members' claims, which were brought via representative proceedings. Although the Court allowed the claim for breach of contract against Exklusiv, it awarded nominal damages to the members, as against their original claim for more than \$110,000 each.

The clubhouse in this case had been relocated from its original location, and its members were instead provided access to club facilities at a separate clubhouse. A group of members, dissatisfied with the relocation, sought to claim against the club's owners. Having considered the parties' cases, the Court dismissed the members' claims for deceit, negligent misrepresentation, and negligence against both Exklusiv and Mr Kwee.

The Court allowed the members' claim for breach of contract against Exklusiv. However, the Court found that the members had failed to prove that they had suffered loss as a result of the breach, and thus awarded nominal damages.

Both Exklusiv and Mr Kwee were represented by Vikram Nair and Foo Xian Fong of Rajah & Tann Singapore LLP.

Brief Facts

The Pines was a social club, and its clubhouse was situated at 30 Stevens Road ("**30SR**") in central Singapore. The Pines was owned by Exklusiv, and Mr Kwee was the director and indirect shareholder of Exklusiv (together, the "**Defendants**").

The Defendants sought to redevelop the clubhouse at 30SR. However, due to various reasons, the Pines had to be relocated. The Pines was eventually moved to the premises of an existing club at in the eastern part of Singapore, with members having shared access to its facilities. The Pines' members



Client Update: Singapore



Dispute Resolution

would also have access to the facilities of a satellite clubhouse at 30SR once opened. To facilitate the relocation, the Pines had to amend some of its Rules and Regulations ("Rules").

The Plaintiffs, comprising a number of members of the Pines, were dissatisfied with the relocation. They sought to claim against the Defendants for deceit, negligence, and negligent misrepresentation in relation to the redevelopment of the clubhouse at 30SR. The Plaintiffs also claimed against Exklusiv for breach of its contract with each of the Plaintiffs.

Holding of the High Court

The Court dismissed the claims for deceit, negligence and negligent misrepresentation, and awarded only nominal damages against Exklusiv for the claim for breach of contract.

Deceit and negligent misrepresentation

The Plaintiffs' claims for deceit and negligent misrepresentation were essentially based on statements initially made by the Defendants regarding the redevelopment of the Pines at 30SR.

The Court found that the alleged misrepresentations, being representations as to the future, were not actionable as the Plaintiffs had failed to show that that the Defendants did not intend to provide the Pines' members with a new clubhouse at 30SR. In fact, the evidence suggested that the Defendants did intend to do so, but were ultimately unable to do so for various reasons.

Therefore, the Court dismissed the Plaintiffs' claims for deceit and negligent misrepresentation.

Negligence

The Court also dismissed the Plaintiffs' claim in that the Defendants had breached a duty of care to the Plaintiffs to provide timely, true and accurate information as regards the redevelopment of the clubhouse at 30SR.

The Court found that the Plaintiffs had failed to properly plead the facts upon which the alleged duty of care was founded. They had also failed to properly plead the precise breach of the alleged duty of care.

Breach of contract

The Court found that there were certain implied terms in the membership contact involving the location of the clubhouse in a central area of Singapore and restricting the amendment of the Rules to allow a change in such location. In declaring that the clubhouse would no longer be located at 30SR, Exklusiv was found to have breached the implied terms.

Client Update: Singapore



Dispute Resolution

However, the Court declined most of the reliefs sought by the Plaintiffs. The Court declined to make an order for specific performance to procure a clubhouse at 30SR, or for the Defendants to procure for each of the Plaintiffs membership in a club in the central area of Singapore. The Court also declined to make an order for compensation to the Plaintiffs for a value equivalent to the present market value of about 20 years' use of 30SR and its facilities.

As for the damages, the Court considered the expert evidence adduced by the parties and found that the Plaintiffs had failed to prove that they had suffered any loss. The key test the Court applied was whether there was a diminution in market value of the memberships as a result of the relocation. The Court found that there was no diminution in the value of the Plaintiffs' memberships, and thus ordered only nominal damages of S\$1,500 to the each of the Plaintiffs.

Concluding Words

The decision demonstrates that an actionable claim does not necessarily result in the relief sought by the claimant. If the relief sought is in damages, then the claimant must be able to show that he has suffered a loss. If alternative relief is sought, such as for specific performance, then the claimant must be able to demonstrate why such relief is warranted.

For further queries, please feel free to contact our team below.

Contacts



Vikram Nair Partner, Commercial Litigation T +65 6232 0973

vikram.nair@rajahtann.com



Foo Xian FongSenior Associate, Commercial
Litigation

T +65 6232 0232

xian.fong.fee@rajahtann.com

Please feel free to also contact Knowledge and Risk Management at eOASIS@rajahtann.com

Client Update: Singapore



Our Regional Contacts

RAJAH & TANN | Singapore

Rajah & Tann Singapore LLP

T +65 6535 3600 sg.rajahtannasia.com

R&T SOK & HENG | Cambodia

R&T Sok & Heng Law Office

T +855 23 963 112 / 113 F +855 23 963 116

kh.rajahtannasia.com

RAJAH & TANN 立杰上海

SHANGHAI REPRESENTATIVE OFFICE | China

Rajah & Tann Singapore LLP Shanghai Representative Office

T +86 21 6120 8818 F +86 21 6120 8820 cn.rajahtannasia.com

ASSEGAF HAMZAH & PARTNERS | Indonesia

Assegaf Hamzah & Partners

Jakarta Office

T +62 21 2555 7800 F +62 21 2555 7899

Surabaya Office

T +62 31 5116 4550 F +62 31 5116 4560 www.ahp.co.id

RAJAH & TANN | Lao PDR

Rajah & Tann (Laos) Co., Ltd.

T +856 21 454 239 F +856 21 285 261 la.rajahtannasia.com CHRISTOPHER & LEE ONG | Malaysia

Christopher & Lee Ong

T +60 3 2273 1919 F +60 3 2273 8310 www.christopherleeong.com

RAJAH & TANN $\mid Myanmar$

Rajah & Tann Myanmar Company Limited

T +95 1 9345 343 / +95 1 9345 346

F +95 1 9345 348 mm.rajahtannasia.com

GATMAYTAN YAP PATACSIL

GUTIERREZ & PROTACIO (C&G LAW) | Philippines

Gatmaytan Yap Patacsil Gutierrez & Protacio (C&G Law)

T +632 8894 0377 to 79 / +632 8894 4931 to 32

F +632 8552 1977 to 78 www.cagatlaw.com

RAJAH&TANN | Thailand

R&T Asia (Thailand) Limited

T +66 2 656 1991 F +66 2 656 0833 th.rajahtannasia.com

RAJAH & TANN LCT LAWYERS | Vietnam

Rajah & Tann LCT Lawyers

Ho Chi Minh City Office

T +84 28 3821 2382 / +84 28 3821 2673

F +84 28 3520 8206

Hanoi Office

T +84 24 3267 6127 F +84 24 3267 6128 www.rajahtannlct.com

Rajah & Tann Asia is a network of legal practices based in Asia.

Member firms are independently constituted and regulated in accordance with relevant local legal requirements. Services provided by a member firm are governed by the terms of engagement between the member firm and the client.

This update is solely intended to provide general information and does not provide any advice or create any relationship, whether legally binding or otherwise. Rajah & Tann Asia and its member firms do not accept, and fully disclaim, responsibility for any loss or damage which may result from accessing or relying on this update.

Client Update: Singapore



Our Regional Presence



Rajah & Tann Singapore LLP is one of the largest full-service law firms in Singapore, providing high quality advice to an impressive list of clients. We place strong emphasis on promptness, accessibility and reliability in dealing with clients. At the same time, the firm strives towards a practical yet creative approach in dealing with business and commercial problems. As the Singapore member firm of the Lex Mundi Network, we are able to offer access to excellent legal expertise in more than 100 countries.

Rajah & Tann Singapore LLP is part of Rajah & Tann Asia, a network of local law firms in Cambodia, China, Indonesia, Lao PDR, Malaysia, Myanmar, the Philippines, Singapore, Thailand and Vietnam. Our Asian network also includes regional desks focused on Brunei, Japan and South

The contents of this Update are owned by Rajah & Tann Singapore LLP and subject to copyright protection under the laws of Singapore and, through international treaties, other countries. No part of this Update may be reproduced, licensed, sold, published, transmitted, modified, adapted, publicly displayed, broadcast (including storage in any medium by electronic means whether or not transiently for any purpose save as permitted herein) without the prior written permission of Rajah & Tann Singapore LLP.

Please note also that whilst the information in this Update is correct to the best of our knowledge and belief at the time of writing, it is only intended to provide a general guide to the subject matter and should not be treated as a substitute for specific professional advice for any particular course of action as such information may not suit your specific business and operational requirements. It is to your advantage to seek legal advice for your specific situation. In this regard, you may call the lawyer you normally deal with in Rajah & Tann Singapore LLP or email Knowledge & Risk Management at eOASIS@rajahtann.com.