## Client Update: Singapore

2023 FEBRUARY



**Corporate Commercial** 

# MOF and ACRA Respond to Feedback on Proposals Relating to Digitalisation and Compulsory Acquisition in 2020 Consultation Paper on Proposed Amendments to Companies Act

On 6 February 2023, the Ministry of Finance ("MOF") and the Accounting and Corporate Regulatory Authority ("ACRA") published their responses ("Response") to feedback received from the public pursuant to the Public Consultation on Proposed Amendments to the Companies Act which ran from 20 July 2020 to 17 August 2020. The Response covered some of the proposals made by the Companies Act Working Group ("Working Group"). We broadly outline certain key proposals and responses below. Responses to other Proposals will be issued by MOF and ACRA in due course.

(a) Facilitating digital general meetings and digital board meetings. The Working Group proposed to revise the Companies Act 1967 ("CA") to clarify that a company may hold general meetings digitally and in more than one location, unless the company's constitution provides otherwise. To facilitate this, it was proposed to amend the provisions of the CA to address shareholders' rights in relation to digital meetings.

The CA will explicitly specify that companies that hold digital general meetings must use technology that enable members to attend, listen, speak and vote at the meeting, and to specify that companies may hold digital general meetings unless expressly prohibited by their constitution. Additional safeguards and requirements may be introduced later through subsidiary legislation.

General meetings held using digital means will also be subject to section 392(3) of the CA, which allows an application to be made to the court to declare proceedings at a general meeting to be void, on the grounds of a procedural irregularity that has caused or may cause substantial injustice.

There will be an enabling provision which provides that nothing in the CA prohibits board meetings from being held digitally.

# Client Update: Singapore

2023 FEBRUARY



### Corporate Commercial

The CA will mandate all companies to accept proxy instructions given by electronic means, modifying the current practice where the manner for appointing a proxy and providing proxy instructions is prescribed in a company's constitution.

(b) Clarifying the application of existing digitalisation provisions to documents under the CA. The CA provisions that permit the giving, sending or serving of notices of meetings, accounts, balance sheets, financial statements, reports and other documents using electronic communications will be revised to include all documents that the CA requires or permits companies or directors to send to members, officers or auditors.

The CA provisions that permit the keeping of company records in electronic form and the inspection of company records by electronic means will be revised to apply to all documents that the CA requires companies and foreign companies to keep or make available for inspection.

The CA will be amended so that a document may be sent using a mode of electronic communication (including via publication on website) by (i) companies or directors to persons who are not members, officers or auditors of the company; (ii) members, officers, or auditors to companies or directors; and (iii) persons who are not members, officers, or auditors to companies or directors, where in each case there is an agreement between the parties for the document to be sent using that mode of electronic communication.

MOF and ACRA will further clarify what constitutes an agreement between parties for a document to be sent using electronic communications.

(c) Other areas concerning digitalisation. MOF and ACRA agreed with the Working Group that the CA should not be amended to address the sending of documents by foreign companies using digital means, because this may potentially contradict foreign law.

MOF and ACRA will conduct further study on the following proposals concerning digitalisation in other areas, as these proposals may have implications on other sections of the CA:

- whether and how court-ordered meetings under section 210 of the CA may be held digitally;
- whether common seals can be in digital form;
- whether certain things made by companies, directors, members, auditors or accounting entities (e.g. debentures, certificates, declarations and reports) can be in digital form; and
- the sending of documents between certain persons (e.g. transferees, auditors, officers and the Minister) using digital means.

# Client Update: Singapore

2023 FEBRUARY



### **Corporate Commercial**

(d) Review of the threshold for the compulsory acquisition of shares under section 215 of the CA. Section 215 of the CA provides for the right of a person ("transferee") to compulsorily acquire the shares of any dissenting shareholder where a scheme or contract involving the transfer of all the shares, or all of the shares in any particular class, in a company ("transferor company") to the transferee has been approved by at least 90% of the holders of all the shares or the shares of that class ("90% threshold"). Shares held or acquired by persons connected to the transferee are excluded from the computation of the 90% threshold.

Working Group's Proposal: Computation of the 90% threshold for compulsory acquisition under section 215 of the CA should also exclude the shares held or acquired by:

- a person who is accustomed or is under an obligation whether formal or informal to act in accordance with the directions, instructions or wishes of the transferee in respect of the transferor company;
- a body corporate controlled\* by the transferee;
- a person who is, or is a nominee of, a party to a share acquisition agreement with the transferee;
- the transferee's close relatives;
- a person whose directions, instructions or wishes the transferee is accustomed or is under an obligation whether formal or informal to act in accordance with, in respect of the transferor company; and
- a body corporate **controlled**\* by a person described in the preceding item.

\*The Consultation Paper sought comments on whether the threshold to be adopted to establish a control of a body corporate referred to in the second and sixth bullet points above should be 30%.

MOF's and ACRA's Response: The threshold to establish control of a body corporate will be revised to 50%, instead of the 30% threshold that was originally proposed. Based on feedback received, a person who is, or is a nominee of, a party to a share acquisition agreement with a transferee will not be excluded in computing the 90% threshold for compulsory acquisition under section 215 of the CA.

For details on the proposals in the Consultation Paper, click <a href="here">here</a> to read our July 2020 Legal Update titled "Proposed Changes to Companies Act to Cope with Evolving Business Environment". For more information on the Response, please click on the following links (available on the ACRA website at <a href="https://www.acra.gov.sg">www.acra.gov.sg</a>):

• ACRA Press Release titled "Summary of Responses to Public Consultation on Proposed Amendments to the Companies Act"

# Client Update: Singapore 2023 FEBRUARY



### **Corporate Commercial**

• Annex: MOF and ACRA's responses to key feedback on the proposed amendments to the Companies Act

### **Contacts**



**Abdul Jabbar** Head, Corporate and Transactional Group

T +65 6232 0465

abdul.jabbar@rajahtann.com



Evelyn Wee Deputy Head, Corporate and Transactional Group Head, Capital Markets

T +65 6232 0724

evelyn.wee@rajahtann.com



**Hoon Chi Tern**Deputy Head, Capital Markets
T +65 6232 0714

chi.tern.hoon@rajahtann.com



**Cynthia Goh** Partner, Capital Markets

T +65 6232 0316

cynthia.goh@rajahtann.com



**Khairil Suhairee**Partner, Corporate Commercial

T +65 6232 0571

khairil.suhairee@rajahtann.com

Please feel free to also contact Knowledge Management at <a href="mailto:eOASIS@rajahtann.com">eOASIS@rajahtann.com</a>

## Client Update: Singapore

2023 FEBRUARY



## **Regional Contacts**

R&T SOK & HENG | Cambodia

**R&T Sok & Heng Law Office** 

T +855 23 963 112 / 113

F +855 23 963 116

kh.rajahtannasia.com

RAJAH & TANN 立杰上海

SHANGHAI REPRESENTATIVE OFFICE | China

Rajah & Tann Singapore LLP Shanghai Representative Office

T +86 21 6120 8818

F +86 21 6120 8820

cn.rajahtannasia.com

ASSEGAF HAMZAH & PARTNERS | Indonesia

Assegaf Hamzah & Partners

**Jakarta Office** 

T +62 21 2555 7800

F +62 21 2555 7899

Surabaya Office

T +62 31 5116 4550

F +62 31 5116 4560

www.ahp.co.id

RAJAH & TANN | Lao PDR

Rajah & Tann (Laos) Co., Ltd.

T +856 21 454 239

F +856 21 285 261

la.rajahtannasia.com

CHRISTOPHER & LEE ONG | Malaysia

Christopher & Lee Ong

T +60 3 2273 1919

F +60 3 2273 8310

www.christopherleeong.com

RAJAH & TANN | Myanmar

Rajah & Tann Myanmar Company Limited

T +95 1 9345 343 / +95 1 9345 346

F +95 1 9345 348

mm.rajahtannasia.com

GATMAYTAN YAP PATACSIL
GUTIERREZ & PROTACIO (C&G LAW) | Philippines

Gatmaytan Yap Patacsil Gutierrez & Protacio (C&G Law)

T +632 8894 0377 to 79 / +632 8894 4931 to 32

F +632 8552 1977 to 78

www.cagatlaw.com

RAJAH & TANN | Singapore

Rajah & Tann Singapore LLP

T +65 6535 3600

sg.rajahtannasia.com

RAJAH & TANN | *Thailand* R&T Asia (Thailand) Limited

T +66 2 656 1991

F +66 2 656 0833

th.rajahtannasia.com

RAJAH & TANN LCT LAWYERS | Vietnam

Rajah & Tann LCT Lawyers

Ho Chi Minh City Office

T +84 28 3821 2382 / +84 28 3821 2673

F +84 28 3520 8206

**Hanoi Office** 

T +84 24 3267 6127

F +84 24 3267 6128

www.rajahtannlct.com

Rajah & Tann Asia is a network of legal practices based in Asia.

Member firms are independently constituted and regulated in accordance with relevant local legal requirements. Services provided by a member firm are governed by the terms of engagement between the member firm and the client.

This update is solely intended to provide general information and does not provide any advice or create any relationship, whether legally binding or otherwise. Rajah & Tann Asia and its member firms do not accept, and fully disclaim, responsibility for any loss or damage which may result from accessing or relying on this update.

# Client Update: Singapore

2023 FEBRUARY



## Our Regional Presence



Rajah & Tann Singapore LLP is one of the largest full-service law firms in Singapore, providing high quality advice to an impressive list of clients. We place strong emphasis on promptness, accessibility and reliability in dealing with clients. At the same time, the firm strives towards a practical yet creative approach in dealing with business and commercial problems. As the Singapore member firm of the Lex Mundi Network, we are able to offer access to excellent legal expertise in more than 100 countries.

Rajah & Tann Singapore LLP is part of Rajah & Tann Asia, a network of local law firms in Cambodia, China, Indonesia, Lao PDR, Malaysia, Myanmar, the Philippines, Singapore, Thailand and Vietnam. Our Asian network also includes regional desks focused on Brunei, Japan and South Asia.

The contents of this Update are owned by Rajah & Tann Singapore LLP and subject to copyright protection under the laws of Singapore and, through international treaties, other countries. No part of this Update may be reproduced, licensed, sold, published, transmitted, modified, adapted, publicly displayed, broadcast (including storage in any medium by electronic means whether or not transiently for any purpose save as permitted herein) without the prior written permission of Rajah & Tann Singapore LLP.

Please note also that whilst the information in this Update is correct to the best of our knowledge and belief at the time of writing, it is only intended to provide a general guide to the subject matter and should not be treated as a substitute for specific professional advice for any particular course of action as such information may not suit your specific business and operational requirements. It is to your advantage to seek legal advice for your specific situation. In this regard, you may call the lawyer you normally deal with in Rajah & Tann Singapore LLP or email Knowledge Management at eOASIS@rajahtann.com.